

## **Construction Case Study Template**

Project Title: U	
<b>Subtitle (one line):</b> □ What we built measurable outcome	• The constraint we solved • The
1. Project Snapshot	
☐ <b>Asset &amp; location:</b> Richmond VIC)	(e.g., Commercial fit-out,
☐ <b>Key constraint solved:</b> tram corridor closures)	(e.g., night lifts to avoid
□ Program result:	(e.g., delivered 12 days early)
☐ Outcome metric: met; NABERS 5.5)	(e.g., 0 LTIs; airtightness target
2. Project Overview	
☐ Write a <b>1-paragraph overview</b> for non-	engineers (who/what/where, no jargon).
Quick Facts	
☐ Client / Sector: Healthcare / Industrial)	(Developer / Education /
☐ Location / Suburb:	(City, VIC)

☐ Contract Form:	(D&C / Lump Sum / ECI)
☐ Duration:	(Start – Finish, weeks)
□ Project Size:	(m² / levels / value optional)
□ Credits:	(Architect / Engineer / Key Trades)
3. Constraints (pick 3–5)	
ueekend-only cranage)	(e.g., Narrow laneway access; tram routes;
	(e.g., Live tenancies with noise and dust limits)
□ windows)	(e.g., Heritage façade retention and weather
4. Method & Sequencing	
☐ Step 1:	(e.g., Staged demolition with negative air)
☐ Step 2:deliveries)	(e.g., Night cranage & just-in-time
□ Step 3:	(e.g., Off-site prefabrication to reduce risk)
☐ Step 4 (optional):	
5. Safety, Quality & Envi	ronment (evidence-led)
Safety	
<ul> <li>Inductions / SWMS captured</li> <li>TRIFR / LTIs recorded:</li> <li>Notable zero-harm highlights</li> </ul>	
Quality	
<ul> <li>QA checkpoints documented</li> <li>Test &amp; Tag / commissioning leading</li> </ul>	ogs

Airtightness/pressure result:		
Environment		
<ul> <li>Waste recycled (%):%</li> <li>Noise/dust controls noted</li> <li>Energy/water target hit:</li> </ul>		
6. Outcomes (Measured) - 3-6 bullets with numbers		
□ <b>Program variance:</b> days (+/-) vs baseline		
☐ <b>Defects at PC:</b> (major) / (minor)		
□ <b>Rework reduction:</b> % vs last comparable		
□ Performance target: (e.g., NABERS 5.5)		
□ Stakeholder impact: (e.g., zero tenant complaints)		
□ Other:		
7. Stakeholder Management		
<ul> <li>Approvals cadence described (e.g., weekly superintendent sign-off)</li> <li>Neighbour/tenant communications documented</li> <li>Authorities/permits noted (what/when):</li> <li>Issue log summary included</li> </ul>		
8. Media & Documentation (map each asset to a proof		
point)		
□ Progress photo: → proves		
□ Progress photo: → proves		
$\square$ Drone shot: $\rightarrow$ proves		
□ Timelapse: → proves		
□ Reel (30-45s): → proves		
☐ Captions written (max 14 words, outcome-focused)		
☐ Alt text added for accessibility/SEO		

## 9. Testimonial (Optional) ☐ Client/superintendent quote secured « \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ -\_\_\_\_,Title / Company 10. Key Stats (case-study side box) $\square$ Size: \_\_\_\_ m<sup>2</sup> / \_\_\_\_ levels ☐ Duration: \_\_\_\_\_ weeks ☐ Peak workforce: \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Safety: 0 LTIs / TRIFR \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Program variance: + / - \_\_\_\_ days ☐ Sustainability: NABERS/Green Star/Airtightness: \_\_\_\_\_ 11. Call to Action ☐ One-sentence CTA written (clear next step) If you need a similar outcome for [asset type] in [suburb/region], let's map the first two milestones. ☐ Link/button added: <a href="https://legacy-media.com.au/">https://legacy-media.com.au/</a> Final QC (tick all) $\square$ 250-500 words (scannable) $\square$ 8-12 photos + 1-2 short clips ☐ Numbers present (program/defects/performance) ☐ Credits included (architect/engineer/trades) ☐ Captions + alt text completed ☐ Internal links added to relevant service/project pages

☐ Schema ready (Article, Project, ImageObject, FAQPage if used)